

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,411	12/03/2001	Franklin Zhigang Zhang		3112	
7	590 10/23/2006		EXAMINER		
Franklin ZhiGang Zhang			FERRIS, DE	FERRIS, DERRICK W	
4717 Spencer Street Torrance, CA 90503		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appea	l Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/006,411	ZHANG, FRANKLIN ZHIGANG			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Derrick W. Ferris	2616			

	Derrick W. Ferris	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider or compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expressions.	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1	.136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of the control of th	iginally set in the final Offi late of the final rejection,	ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)).	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
······································	but prior to the data of filing a bai		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a price prior to the date of filing a price prior prior to the date of filing a price prince price p	er, will <u>not</u> be entered b OTE below):	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w):	ore below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see attached sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 at			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)    □ v   vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a did sufficient reasons why the affidate	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
I0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
<del></del>			•
DI PRIMA	ERRICK W. FERRIS ARY PATENT EXAMINER	Derrick W. Ferris	
	1	Examiner Art Unit: 2616	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) The newly added claims as filed requires further search and/or reconsideration. A further interview was conducted with Franklin Zhang on 10/17/2003 where no agreement was reached. The applicant noted that the claims as amended further recite that the access point (AP) has an Internet connection (i.e., packet based connection), which differs from cellular-based systems, and that a server found in the Internet is used to help setup/control the connection. The examiner recommended that applicant file an RCE to enter in the new amendment.